

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

Mu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/675,259   | 09/28/2000     | Thomas G. Ruttan     | 042390.P9486        | 3017            |
| 7:   | 590 07/24/2002 |                      |                     |                 |
| Michael A. Bernadicou BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 |                |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
|  |                |                      | DUVERNE, JEAN F     |                 |
|  |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Los i ingeles, c   | 70025 1020     |                      | 2839                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 07/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/675,259

Applicant(s)

Ruttan et al

Examiner

Jean Duverne

Art Unit **2839** 

|                           |   | <u> </u>   |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| •                         | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears  | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |
| A SH                      | or <b>Reply</b><br>DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM   |
| af<br>- If the            | er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  | FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will   |
| co<br>- Failui<br>- Any i | mmunication.<br>e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  | period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In a mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any |
| ea<br>Status              | rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFN 1.704(b).   |  |
| 1) 💢                      | Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 21,   | 2000   |
| 2a) 🗌                     | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This ac   | tion is non-final.   |
| 3) 🗆                      | Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa   | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  |
| Disposi                   | tion of Claims  |  |
| 4) 💢                      | Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>  | is/are pending in the application.   |
| 4                         | a) Of the above, claim(s) 11-18   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |
| 5) 🗆                      | Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.  |
| 6) 💢                      | Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>  | is/are rejected.   |
| 7) 🗆                      | Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.  |
| 8) 🗆                      | Claims  | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |
| Applica                   | tion Papers   |  |
| 9) 🗆                      | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |
| 10)                       | The drawing(s) filed on is/are  | e objected to by the Examiner.   |
| 11)                       | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.  |
| 12)                       | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam  | iner.  |
| Priority                  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |
| 13) 🗆                     | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign r  | oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).   |
| a) 🗆                      | ] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:  |  |
|                           | 1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have  | ve been received.  |
|                           | 2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have  | ve been received in Application No   |
|                           | <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a li</li></ol> |  |
| 14) 🗆                     | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic   |  |
|                           |   |  |
| Attachm                   |   | 101 1 to 101 0 101 101 112 Person No.  |
| , ,                       | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |
|                           | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 20) Other:   |
| 74                        |   |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/675,259

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avery et al (US patent 6,181,008).

Avery's device disclose a power system comprising a power converter (see abstract), a land grid array socket mounted on array of contacts at 18 or 20, the power converter adapted to convert the voltage corresponding to an array of contacts on the land grid array socket. The contact pads are fabricated with electrically conductive material (see cols 1-2, line 61-7). The conductive metal circuity at 18 or 30, 38 uses as printed circuit board mounted to the grid array package in a single direction, a minimum compression force is applied to connect the circuits 22 and 30. However, Avery's device fails to disclose the arrangement of converter in relation with the grid array socket. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary in the art at the time the invention was to place the grid array socket on an array of contacts mounted on the surface of the power converter, since it has been held that rearranging of parts of an inventtion involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japike, 86 USPQ 70.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,259 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

#### **Conclusion**

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McCormick (US patent 6,369,724), Kelkar et al (US patent 6,084,308) and Wenzel et al (US Patent 6,150,724) devices disclose a power converter with a land grid array socket.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean Duverne whose telephone number is (703) 305 - 0297. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (703) 308 - 3119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308 - 7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

**JFD** 

Jean F. Duverne

July 19, 2002

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2839